APPLICANT: Harvard Supportive Living NFP PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Harvard, IL
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-119 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HARVARD. ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no wetlands or waters on the subject property.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7). ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria. ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: Date: 5/2/07 Approved by: Date: 5/2/07
5/22/2
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e. occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may var from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

APPLICANT: Fred Woods Home Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 4631 North Beacon Street in the City of Chicago, Cook County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-199 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable water of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an i-olated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 □ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHICAGO LOOP. □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): the project contains and existing building that is being rehabilitated for residential use. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Approved by: Date:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVEDJU	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District			
APPLICANT: Dickinson	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Loch Lomand/Mundelein			
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1352	ROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: 🛛 Office 🗌 Field			
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available informati There are no waters on th There are non-jurisdiction There are waters of the U	e project site.			
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination	1:			
☐ The presence of waters which interstate or foreign commerce, in of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate was ☐ The presence of a tributary to ☐ The presence of wetlands adjusted the except for those wetlands adjusted for those wetlands, sloughs, ☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.	aters of the United States present on the project site. In are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport cluding all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the use (including interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) In an interstate water or other water of the use (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) In accent (including, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the use, accent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) In accent (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).			
Information Reviewed	Service National Wetland Inventory:			
 ☑ U. S. Geological Survey ☐ U. S. Geological Survey ☐ U. S. Geological Survey ☑ Aerials (Name & Date): 	es Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: GRAYSLAKE, 1993. 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, 2005 & 2006; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.			
Bull Creek. The Des Plaines River is a	oxes checked above): Loch Lomond has a surface connection to the Des Plaines River via navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam and therefore Loch Lomond is the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.			
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 Cl Ordinary High Wa	ter Mark indicated by: pressed on the bank			
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:				
Area under consideration	te of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria			
☐ Headquarters declined to appr	ove jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]			
Confirmation of Wetland Boundarie This office concurs with your This office does not confirm	wetland delineation report dated your wetland boundary Date: Date: Date:			
Recommended by:	Date:			
Approved by:	Date:			
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated usin	g the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,			

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

	CTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District			
APPLICANT: Village of North Barrington	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Flint Creek/North Barrington			
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1246	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: 🛛 Office 🗌 Field			
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the projection of the projection of the projection of the United States.	ers on the project site.			
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:				
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: U. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, 2005 & 2006; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on:				
	ecked above): North Flint Creek is tributary to the Fox River via Flint Creek. The tates and therefore North Flint Creek is also a water of the United States under the			
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 Ordinary High Water Mar clear, natural line impressed the presence of litter and det changes in the character of s wetland boundary	k indicated by: on the bank			
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:				
☐ Area under consideration is like	tters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) by to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria			
	isdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]			
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetlan This office does not confirm your w	Date: Da			
Recommended by:	Date:			
Approved by:	Date:			
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the mo	ethods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,			

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District **APPLICANT:** Jason Juragaitis PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Pistakee Lake/Lake Villa PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1179 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ANTIOCH, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, 2005 & 2006; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Pistakee Lake is part of the Fox River, a navigable water of the United States and therefore a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Approved by: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Village of Lincolnshire PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Squaw Crek/Volo FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-79 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WHEELING, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, 2005 & 2006; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Indian Creek and its triutary connect to the Des Plaines River. The Des Plaines River is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, therefore Indian Creek and its tributary are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] **Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries** This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 21, 2004, prepared by Christopher B Burke Engineering, Ltd.. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Date: Approved by:

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Peoples Energy PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Tampier Slough Located West of Wolf Road and North of 131st Street Near Orland Park, Cook County, Illinois (Section 31, T37N, R12E) FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-218 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: SAG BRIDGE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Tampier Slough is under the jurisdiction of this office due to it's identifiable hydrologic connection to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: 🛮 clear, natural line impressed on the bank 🔲 destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] **Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries** This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Date: 5/9/67 Recommended by: Approved by: Date: ¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). ² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

APPLICANT: Lake County Central Services	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Des Plaines River/Park City
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-166	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ⊠Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project There are non-jurisdictional waters There are waters of the United Stat	s on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
☐ The presence of waters which are curre interstate or foreign commerce, including all of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including all of the U.S.) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an intersection of the presence of wetlands adjacent to be except for those wetlands adjacent to be under the presence of an isolated water (e.g.	ne United States present on the project site. ently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport Il waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters uding interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) state water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) pordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, otholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
Information Reviewed	
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrolog ✓ USDA Natural Resources Conser ✓ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minu U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minu U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minu	vation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. ute Topographic Maps: LIBERTYVILLE, 1993. ute Historic Quadrangles: te Historic Quadrangles: unty 1939, 2000, 2004, 2005 & 2006; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
associated wetland area. The Des Plaines River	ked above): Site contains an unamed triutary to the Des Plaines River and an is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, therefore its of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 ar Ordinary High Water Mark i clear, natural line impressed o the presence of litter and debri changes in the character of soi wetland boundary	indicated by: on the bank
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is likely Area under consideration is not like	ers listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria tely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurison	diction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Building and Development. This office does not confirm your wet	delineation report dated September 19, 2006, prepared by Lake County Planning Date:
Recommended by:	Date:
Approved by:	
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the meth	ods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987)

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Lake CountyForest Preserve District	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Des Plaines River/Libertyville
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-43	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ⊠Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the Material There are waters of the United States on the	
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
interstate or foreign commerce, including all water of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including in The presence of a tributary to an interstate water and The presence of wetlands adjacent? (bordering except for those wetlands adjacent to other water and The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrast sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, Other: Section 10 waterway.	sed, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport is which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) after or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) and contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, etlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) tate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats,
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National	Western Harrison or
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atla USDA Natural Resources Conservation S U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Top U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Hist U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Histo	as: Service Soil Survey for Lake County. ographic Maps: LIBERTYVILLE, 1993. oric Quadrangles: oric Quadrangles: 39, 2000, 2004, 2005 & 2006; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005
connection to an Unamed Des Plaines trib. The Des Pla	ove): Wetlands on site are triutary to the Des Plaines River via a surface aines River is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam raters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329) Ordinary High Water Mark indicate ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bin the presence of litter and debris ☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ wetland boundary	ed by:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is likely to have	d in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) be been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria we been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction	on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
	ation report dated November 2006, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc.
Recommended by:	Date: 5/14/01
Approved by:	Date:
wellands are identified and defineated using the methods and	criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., d hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Levatino	PROJECT LOCATION/WATER	RWAY: Fox Lake/Spring Grove
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-95	PROJECT REVIEW CO	OMPLETED: 🛭 Office 🗌 Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available informati There are no waters on the There are waters of the U	on:	33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable
Basis of Jurisdictional Determinatio	n:	
☐ The presence of waters whice interstate or foreign commerce, in of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate was a limit of the presence of a tributary to the presence of wetlands and except for those wetlands and limit of the presence of an isolated water	aters (including interstate wetlands ¹) o an interstate water or other water of jacent ² (bordering, contiguous, or n jacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 32)	n the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable water). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, 28.3 (a)(7)) treams (including intermittent streams), mudflats,
U. S. Geological Survey USDA Natural Resource U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey Aerials (Name & Date):	es Conservation Service Soil Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: FC 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 7 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, 200 8 Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.	y for Lake County. OX LAKE, 1993.
Rationale for Basis (applies to any b jurisdiction of the Department of the A		a navigable water of the United States under the
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 Cl Ordinary High Wa	ter Mark indicated by: spressed on the bank	estruction of terrestrial vegetation elving ther
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:		
☐ Area under consideration	is likely to have been jurisdictional	(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7). I under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria all under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to appr	ove jurisdiction on the basis of 328.	.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
This office does not confirm	wetland delineation report dated your wetland boundary	, prepared by
Recommended by:		Date: 15 May 07
Approved by:		Date:
occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrifrom methods described in the Corps Wetla	ic soils and wetland hydrology). Process nd Delineation Manual (1987).	n the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., ses for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

APPLICANT:Pamela Riter	PROJECT LOCATION	WATERWAY: Bull Valley	
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-907	PROJECT REVIEW O	COMPLETED: 🗌 Office 🔯	Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determina action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available informa There are no waters on There are non-jurisdicti There are waters of the There are both waters of	ation: the project site. ional waters on the project United States on the projec	site.	
Basis of Jurisdictional Determinati	on:		
interstate or foreign common waters of the U.S.) (33 CFI The presence of interstate of the presence of a tributary The presence of wetlands a except for those wetlands a	ich are currently used, or werce, including all waters w R 328.3(a)(1)) waters (including interstate to an interstate water or ot djacent ² (bordering, contidiacent to other wetlands.) I water (e.g., intrastate lake	wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a her water of the US. (33 CFR guous, or neighboring) to inter	328.3 (a)(5)) restate or other waters of the US, termittent streams), mudflats,
☑ U. S. Geological Surv.☑ USDA National Resou☑ U. S. Geological Surv.		HENRY, HA 255. Soil Survey for McHenry Cou Maps: MCHENRY, 1992.	unty.
☐ U. S. Geological Surve☐ Aerial Photographs (Notes)	ey 15 Minute Historic Qua Jame & Date): 2001, 2004, on Wetland Maps: MCHE	drangles: , 2005	
Rationale for Basis (applies to any corrugated plastic pipe to Boone Cree Army jurisdiction.			
Ordinary High Water Mark	rindicated by: pressed on the bank and debris	destruction of terrestrial veg shelving other:	getation
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:			
Area under considerati	on is likely to have been ju	risdictional under pre-SWANG	or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) CC Migratory Bird Rule criteria NCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to ap	prove jurisdiction on the b	asis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy	y of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundar This office concurs with you This office does not confirmation.	our wetland delineation rep		= a a =
Recommended by:		Date:	5/107
Approved by:	-5-	Date:	5/18/->
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated us	sing the methods and criteria e	established in the Corps Wetland D	Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Village of Winfield PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: National St. Heading West and Bend North Along County Farm Rd. to the Intersection of St. Charles Rd in the Village of Winfield, DuPage County, Illinois/Klein Creek FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-141 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:

○ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WEST CHICAGO. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WEST CHICAGO, HA 202. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WEST CHICAGO, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2002 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY... Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The project site includes Klein Creek and adjacent wetland area and an unnamed tributary that are jurisdictional waters of the U.S. because Klein Creek drains into the West Branch DuPage River which goes to the Des Plaines River, which is a section 10 waters of the U.S. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Date: 5-16-07

Approved by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District **APPLICANT:** Lindenhurst Park District PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Des Plaines River/Lindenhurst PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1089 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ______. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ANTIOCH, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ______. U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____. Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, 2005 & 2006; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wet; and onsite is triutary to the Des Plaines River via Hastings Lake, Hastings Creek, and Mill Creek. The Des Plaines River is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, therefore its tributaries and associated wetland are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris Shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated May 24, 2005, prepared by Bonestroo Devry & Assoc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Date: 2 (ハ A > <> -5 - Date: 2/A1> 0> Approved by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Jeffrey James PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane County
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-367 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: GENEVA, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2002, 2004, 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: FEMA FIRM Map
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The western boundary of the property is the Fox River, a navigable waterway which is subject to Department of the Army regulations.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: Clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soils wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by: Date: 5-25-2007
Recommended by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Lake Co DOT PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Des Plaines/Gurnee	
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-27 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field	
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.	!
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable water of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway. 	
Information Reviewed	
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WSDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WAUKEGAN, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, 2005 & 2006; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: Other information:	
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands in the project area are tributary or adjacent to the Des Plaines River. The Des Plaines River is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, therefore its tributaries a associated wetland are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.	nd
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary Description:	
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7). Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria. Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.	
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]	
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 2002, revised July 19, 2006, prepared by Hey and Assoc. Inc, revised by Huff & Huff, Inc ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary	
Recommended by:	
Recommended by:	

¹Wetlands are identified and defineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: B	Batavia Park District	PROJECT LOCATION	N/WATERWA	AY: Kane County	У	
FILE NUMBER	: LRC-2006-910	PROJECT REVIEW (COMPLETE): 🛛 Office 🖾 F	ield	
Approved Jurison (33 CFR 3		ation (JD) (For sites reg	ulated under 33	3 CFR 320-330).	An approved JD	is an appealable
Based of	on available informa					
	re are no waters on		.t aita			
		ional waters on the project United States on the proj				
		of the United States and n		al waters on the	project site.	
Basis of Jurisdic	tional Determinati	on:				
☐ There a	re no jurisdictional	waters of the United State	es present on tl	he project site.		
		ich are currently used, or				
	(33 CFR 328.3(a)(1	including all waters whic	h are subject to	o the ebb and flow	v of the tide (i.e.,	navigable waters
		yy waters (including interstat	te wetlands ¹).	(33 CFR 328.3 (a	a)(2))	
		to an interstate water or o				
		idjacent ² (bordering, con idjacent to other wetlands			rstate or other wa	iters of the US,
		l water (e.g., intrastate lak			ntermittent stream	is), mudflats,
sandflats, w		airie potholes, wet meado				,
Other:	. 10otom					
_	10 waterway.					
Information Rev U.		e Service National Wetlan	nd Inventory	SUGAR GROVE	7 .	
⊠ U.		ey Hydrologic Atlas: SU			J.	
⊠ US	SDA Natural Resou	rces Conservation Service	Soil Survey f	for Kane County.		
		ey 7.5 Minute Topograph ey 7.5 Minute Historic Q		3AR GROVE, 19	93.	
⊠ υ.		ey 15 Minute Historic Qu		ENEVA, 1948		
⊠ Ae	erial Photographs (N	Jame & Date):1939, 1995	, 1996, 1998,		, 2002, 2004, 200)5
		on Wetland Maps: KANI on: 9/26/2006, 04/30/2001				
		RCS Swampbuster Map, I		Map		
		boxes checked above): \		-	a ditch to a series	of detention
		ain north to the same serie				
which flows to th	ne Fox River, a navi	gable waterway, and is th	erefore subject	t to Department of	of the Army regul	ations.
Lateral Extent o	of Jurisdiction (33	CFR 328 and 329):				
<u>O</u> rdina	ry High Water Marl	k indicated by:	_			
		pressed on the bank			restrial vegetation	n
	ne presence of litter hanges in the charac			shelving other:		
	etland boundary		_			
Dania fan Danim	ina Tuniadistian.					
Basis for Decim	ing Jurisdiction:					
		ence of waters listed in 33				
☐ Ar	ea under considerat	ion is likely to have been ion is <u>not</u> likely have beer	jurisdictional u	under pre-SWAN	CC Migratory Bi	rd Rule criteria
☐ Ar	ea under considerat	on is not likely have been	i jurisaictionai	under pre-5 w A	NCC Migratory E	shu Kule criteria
☐ Headqu	arters declined to ap	prove jurisdiction on the	basis of 328.3	(a)(3) [attach cop	y of HQ rational	e]
	f Wetland Bounda					
		our wetland delineation re		, prepared by		
	ffice does not confi	rm your wetland boundary	y.		5-17	000-
Recommended	by:			Date:	J-d1.	200 /
Approved by:		<u>-s-</u>		Date:	5-22	-200>
		sing the methods and criteria dric soils and wetland hydro				

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Community High School District 117 PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Sequoit Creek/Antioch FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-294 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ANTIOCH, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, 2005 & 2006; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Sequoit creek is tributary to the Fox RIver, a navigable water of the United States, therefore Sequoit Creek is also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank ✓ destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris Shelving changes in the character of soil other | wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). ² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Commonwealth Edison PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Jelkes Creek/Elgin	
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-308 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: MOST Office Field	
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealabaction. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.	ole
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable water of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). ☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.	ers
Information Reviewed	
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELGIN, 1992. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):PhotoMapper 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information:	
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland on site is adjacent and tributary to Jelkes Creek. Je Creek flow to the Fox River, a navigable water of the United States, therefore the onsite wetland is also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.	
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High W. ter Mark indicated by: clear, natured line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soils wetland boundary Description:	
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(1). Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria. Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.	ı
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]	
Confirmation of Wetland Foundaries This office concur with your wetland delineation report dated April 13, 2007, prepared by CBBEL. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.	
Recommended by: Date:D	_
This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Date:	
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e occurrence of hydrophytic veger non, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may va	÷.,

from methods described in the (sps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Old Orchard Country Club PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Schoenbeck Road, Prospect Heights, Cook County, IL FILE NUMBER: LRC -2007-225 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, HA 67. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Waters of the U.S./Wetland #1, data points 1A, 2A, and 3A consist of McDonald Creek Tributary A which is under the jurisdiction of this office. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. 3/30/07 Recommended by: Approved by: ¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). ² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: City of Naperville PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: at Jackson Avenue at the West Branch DuPage River in the City of Naperville, DuPage County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-360 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ *There are no* waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: NAPERVILLE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: NAPERVILLE, HA 154. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: NAPERVILLE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY... ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The West Branch of the DuPage River is a jurisdictional waters of the U.S. that has a hydrological connection to the Des Plaines River which is a navigable waters of the U.S. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: elear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). ² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Commonwealth Edison PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kishwaukee River/Gilberts
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-351 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playal lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service So:l Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HUNTLEY, 1992. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):PhotoMapper 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland and stream on site are adjacent and tributary to the Kishwaukee River. The Kishwaukee River flows to the Rock River, a navigable water of the United States, therefore the onsite wetland and stream are also a waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soils wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated April 12, 2007, prepared by CBBEL.
Recommended by: Date: 5/31/07
Approved by: Date:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Lindenhurst Park District	PROJECT LOCATION	JECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Flint Creek/Barrington		
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-70	PROJECT REVIE	ROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: 🛭 Office 🖾 Field		
Approved Jurisdictional Determination action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the pure are non-jurisdictional waters of the United There are both waters of the United The	roject site. waters on the project site. d States on the project sit	e.		
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:				
☐ There are no jurisdictional water ☐ The presence of waters which are interstate or foreign commerce, included for the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters ☐ The presence of a tributary to an ☐ The presence of wetlands adjaced except for those wetlands adjaced and the presence of an isolated water sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, praid Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.	currently used, or were a ling all waters which are still (including interstate weth interstate water or other water) (bordering, contiguount to other wetlands. (33 Gr (e.g., intrastate lakes, riv	used in the past, or may be su subject to the ebb and flow of lands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2) water of the US. (33 CFR 328 is, or neighboring) to interstal CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) vers, streams (including interr	the tide (i.e., navigable waters 3) 3.3 (a)(5)) te or other waters of the US, mittent streams), mudflats,	
Information Reviewed				
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv U. S. Geological Survey Hy USDA Natural Resources C U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 U. S. Geological Survey 15 Aerials (Name & Date):Lak Advanced Identification We Site Visit Conducted on: Ma Other information:	drologic Atlas:onservation Service Soil and Minute Topographic Ma Minute Historic Quadrange County 1939, 2000, 200 tland Maps: LAKE COU	Survey for Lake County. ps: LAKE ZURICH, 1993. gles: gles: gles: 4, 2005 & 2006; PhotoMappe	er 2001, 2002 & 2005	
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes River is a navigable water of the United States States under the jurisdiction of the Departn	ates, therefore its tributari			
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 3 Ordinary High Water M clear, natural line impres the presence of litter and changes in the character of wetland boundary	Mark indicated by: sed on the bank debris	destruction of terrestrial vshelving other	vegetation	
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:				
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of ☐ Area under consideration is I ☐ Area under consideration is I	ikely to have been jurisdi tot likely have been jurisd	ctional under pre-SWANCC lictional under pre-SWANCC	Migratory Bird Rule criteria Migratory Bird Rule criteria	
Headquarters declined to approve	jurisaichon on the basis (or 528.5(a)(5) [attach copy of	ny rationalej	
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your we Assoc. This office does not confirm you	r wetland boundary			
Recommended by:	5-	Date:	5/21/07	
Approved by:	5 —	Date:	5-122/02	
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the				

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.